

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,346 12/08/2003		George Roussos	014442-000002a	1345	
24239 7	590 05/30/2006		EXAMINER		
MOORE & VAN ALLEN PLLC P.O. BOX 13706		NAKARANI, DHIRAJLAL S			
	gle Park, NC 27709		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1773		
			DATE MAILED: 05/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/707,3	46	ROUSSOS, GEORGE				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		D. S. Nal	(arani	1773				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communior Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with t	he correspondence ad	ldress			
WHI - Exte after - If NO - Fails Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Management of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months at need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even unication. Itutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICAT vent, however, may a reply to vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS plication to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this co ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>03 March 2006</i>						
2a)□	•	2b)⊠ This action is i			·			
′_	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the men							
٠/١ـــ	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims	•						
_		ing in the application	,					
الحصار ا	Claim(s) <u>1-25,27 and 28</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-25,27 and 28</u> is/are rejected.							
· —	Claim(s) <u>1-25,27 and 20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
_	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election	reauirement.					
•	ion Papers							
_	-	.						
	The drawing(a) filed on) abjected to but	the Everniner				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are:							
	Applicant may not request that any object		•	• •	ED 4 424(4)			
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The eath or declaration is objected to	•	4. ,	•				
•	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. IN	ote the attached Or	ince Action of tolling	10-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the laternation see the attached detailed Office actions.	documents have been documents have been been to the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Appli ents have been rec le 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National	Stage			
	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) ail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I		5) Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)			
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date		6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/707,346 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification as filed has numerous spelling and grammatical errors. As for example, paragraph 0026, line 1, the phrase "are copolymers" should read -- is a copolymer --, line 2, the phrase "alpha olefins, the alpha olefins" should be -- alpha olefins. The alpha olefins --. Paragraph 0027, line 2, the phrase "alpha olefins the alpha olefins" should be -- alpha olefins. The alpha olefins --. Paragraph 0028, line 2, the phrase "copolymers, the alpha olefins" should read -- copolymers. The alpha olefins --. Paragraph 0041, line 3, the word "on" should read -- one --. Paragraph 0048, line 5, "ethyleneacetate" should read -- ethylene vinyl acetate --. Applicant is requested to review entire specification for additional errors. All corrections should be made without introducing new matters.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1773

- 4. Claims 18 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as filed fail to provide support for changing the phrase "70% white TiO₂" to the phrase -- 70% by weight white TiO₂ -- in claim 18 and changing all recited "%" to -- % by weight -- in claim 23. Applicant has not pointed out where the support for these changes can be found in the specification as filed. In absence of showing support in the original specification these changes constitute new matter. Applicant may make changes in the claims and in the specification by submitting data sheet(s) of the trademark materials having publication date on or before the effective filing date of this application.
- 5. Claims 1-25, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "ethylene ester" wherever occurs in the claim renders claim(s) indefinite. It is not clear what is meant by the phrase "ethylene ester". Clarification and/or correction requested.

Claim 1, line 7, the word "and" should be changed to the word -- or -- for proper markush group and line 10, the phrase "and/or" should read - or - for proper markush group.

Claim 9, line 4, the word "and" should read the word – or – for proper markush group.

Claims 12 and 14, line 2, the phrase "alpha-olefin plastomer copolymer" renders claims indefinite because it is not clear whether recited alpha-olefin plastomer copolymer is in addition

Application/Control Number: 10/707,346

Art Unit: 1773

to one of recited sealing layer polymers in claim 1 or alpha-olefin plastomer copolymer is one of the recited sealing layer polymers in claim 1? If it is one of the recited sealing layer polymers, then it lacks clear antecedent basis since it is not recited in the claim 1.

Claims 13 and 14 line 2, the phrase "ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer" renders claims indefinite because it is not clear whether recited ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer is in addition to one of recited sealing layer polymers in claim 1 or ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer is one of the recited sealing layer polymers in claim 1? If it is one of the recited sealing layer polymers, then it lacks clear antecedent basis since it is not recited in the claim 1.

Claim 18, line 6, the word "and" should be changed to the word -- or -- for proper markush group and line 9, the phrase "and/or" should read - or - for proper markush group.

Claim 19, line 2, the phrase "such as to improve the machinability or other properties" renders claim indefinite. It is not clear how to determine amount of additives to improve which other specific property and improve over what?

Claim 23, recited abbreviations: MFI, VA, EVA, EMA, MA and MA-PVDC should be spelled out. Also wherever necessary dimension of density and melt flow index should be inserted with showing support and without introducing new matter.

Applicant is requested to NOTE that in the claims many places layer forming polymers are in plural form, only as example in claim 1, the member "chemically modified polyethylenes" means that the layer is formed of more then one chemically modified polyethylene. In other word layer is formed of a mixture of at least two different chemically modified polyethylenes. If applicant is trying to claim a layer made of a single chemically modified polyethylene, then

Application/Control Number: 10/707,346

Art Unit: 1773

applicant is requested to carefully consider to recite all polymers in singular form and when desired more than one polymer to recite in plural form.

Claim 23 contains the trademark/trade name Affinity PL-1880 from Dow, EVATANE 1003 VN4 material, ELVAX 3165(DUPONT), ELVAX 3190(DUPONT), LOTRYL 29MA03, LOTRYL 24MA005 and XU 32019.1 OL. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe alpha-olefin plastomer copolymer, ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer, EMA and MA-PVDC copolymer, respectively, and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

6. Claims 1-17, 19-22, 24, 25, 27 and 28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshii et al (U. S. Patent 6,146,726) in view of Bekele (U. S. Patent 4,909,726) and Lind et al (U. S. Patent 6,074,715).

Yoshii et al disclose a heat-shrinkable multi-layer film comprising sealing Iayer (C) made of Iinear ethylene-1-octene copolymer such as Affinity PL – 1880 (Table 1, and col. 5, Iine 1 to col. 6, Iine 40), outer Iayer (A) composition also can be same as Iayer (C) (Col. 7, Iines 45-51), a

Application/Control Number: 10/707,346

Art Unit: 1773

barrier Iayer (B) of copolymer of vinylidene chloride and vinyl chloride and/or methylacrylate (CoI. 8, Iines 20-32), intermediate Iayers D1 and D2 (Col. 8, Iines 54 to coI.9, Iine 50) and adhesive Iayers, as needed, made of polymer such as EVA, acid modified LLDPE etc (CoI. 9, lines 51-67). Yoshii et al disclose irradiating film (CoI. 9, Iines 22-50 and col. 12, Iines 61-67). Yoshii et al teach addition of additive such as silica and erucamide to the sealing Iayer (C) (Col. 12, Iines 22-45 and Examples 1, 5, 6, 7 etc.). Yoshii et al disclose production of film by coextrusion and double bubble process (Example 1 and col. 12, Iines 46-53). Yoshii et al disclose that their film can be used for packaging processed meat products, fresh red meat and cheeses (CoI. 1, Iines 4-28). Yoshii et al fail to disclose sealing layer containing linear ethylene-1-octene copolymer blended with EVA, intermediate layer containing pigment and hot blown film for chub packaging.

Bekele discloses a multilayer film hot blown films suitable for chub packaging (Col. 1, lines 10-11). Bekele also discloses a longitudinally oriented multilayer film (Col. 7, lines 48-49). Lind et al disclose a heat shrinkable barrier film comprising barrier Iayer of vinylidene chloride methacrylate copolymer and sealing Iayer (28) of a mixture of linear Iow density polyethylene made with constrained geometry catalyst or matallocene single site catalyst (Col. 3, Iine 44 to col.6, Iine 7). Addition of EVA to linear Iow density polyethylene provides improved adhesion (Col. 7, Iines 27-46). Lind et al also suggest addition of pigment to make pigmented Iayer (Col. 1, Iines 25-36).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention made to utilize disclosure of Bekele and Lind et al in the invention of Yoshii et al Art Unit: 1773

to make sealing layer of a blend of linear low density polyethylene and EVA for adhesion and film for packaging meat as disclosed by Bekele.

- 7. Claims 18 and 23 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st and 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. Claims are allowable because art of record does not teach or suggest claimed multilayer film having one or more intermediate layers containing claimed amount of white titanium dioxide pigment (Claim 18) and claimed multilayer film having claimed Iayers with claimed specific composition (Claim 23).
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed March 3, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In reference to rejection of claims 18, 22 and 23 under 35 USC, second paragraph, applicant has amended claims and states that the percentages in the claims are "by weight". Support is throughout the specification, and also, it noted that it is well known that the commercial products as purchased are percentages "by weight".

These arguments are unpersuasive because the Examiner is unable to find support for percentages "by weight" throughout specification as filed. Applicant has not specifically pointed out where the support can be found. There is nothing on record supporting statement that the commercial products as purchased are percentages "by weight".

In reference to rejection of claims 1-10, 12, 15, 16, 19-22, 25 and 26 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipate by Yoshii et al (U. S. Patent 6,146,726) and rejection of claims 7, 11, 13, 14, 17, 27 and 28 under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over Yoshii et al (U. S. Patent

Art Unit: 1773

6,146,726) in view of Bekele (U. S. Patent 4,909,726) and Lind et al (U. S. Patent 6,074,715), applicant mainly argues that the hot blown films are not heat-shrinkable. For the chub packaging application heat shrinkability is not a desirable feature. Further applicant states that the connector "consisting essentially of" excludes the film having polyamide, copolyamide, and nylon 6 and also exclude a lay-flat self-welding film shown by Bekele.

These arguments are unpersuasive because the invention as claimed after connector "consisting essentially of" is an open language and do not exclude polyamide, copolyamide and nylon 6 as argued. The invention as claimed requires at least one sealing layer made of recited polymers and at least one barrier layer comprising polyvinylidene chloride polymers and at least one intermediate layer of recited polymers. That means claims are open for additional sealing layer, barrier layer and intermediate layer can be made of any materials including polyamide, copolyamide and nylon 6. There is nothing in the specification stating that heat shrinkable film is not desirable and cannot be used for chub packaging application. Furthermore Bekele does not mention that heat shrinkable film is not desirable for chub packaging. Bekele's Example 5 includes longitudinally oriented film. The longitudinally oriented film considered as heat shrinkable film unless shown otherwise.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. S. Nakarani whose telephone number is (571) 272-1512. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

D. S. Nakarani
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1773

DSN May 25, 2006.